



REVISTAS CIENTÍFICAS
de la Universidad Católica del Norte.
revistas@ucn.cl



doi 10.22199/issn.0717-6279-2020-05-0073

PROYECCIONES
Journal of Mathematics

ISSN 0717-6279 (On line)

Bioperation approach to Przemski's decomposition theorems

C. Carpintero¹ orcid.org/0000-0003-3831-952X

R. Nirmala² orcid.org/0000-0003-0114-6370

N. Rajesh³

E. Rosas⁴ orcid.org/0000-0001-8123-9344

¹Corporación Universitaria del Caribe-CECAR, Sincelejo, Colombia.

✉ carpintero.carlos@gmail.com

Rajah Serfoji Government College (Autonomous), Dept. of Mathematics, Namakkal, TN, India.

²✉ nirmala.karthik143@gmail.com; ³✉ nrajesh@gmail.com

⁴Universidad de la Costa, Dept. de Ciencias Naturales y Exactas, Barranquilla, Colombia.

✉ ennisrafael@gmail.com

Received: July 2019 | Accepted: November 2019

Abstract:

Przemski introduced $D(\alpha, s)$ -set, $D(\alpha, b)$ -set, $D(p, sp)$ -set, $D(p, b)$ -set and $D(b, sp)$ -set to obtain several decompositions of continuity. In this paper, we extend these sets via bioperation and obtain new decompositions of continuity.

Keywords: Topological spaces; $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open set

MSC (2020): 54A05, 54C08.

Cite this article as (IEEE citation style):

C. Carpintero, R. Nirmala, N. Rajesh, and E. Rosas, "Bioperation approach to Przemski's decomposition theorems", *Proyecciones (Antofagasta, On line)*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 1191-1200, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.22199/issn.0717-6279-2020-05-0073.



Article copyright: © 2020 C. Carpintero, R. Nirmala, N. Rajesh, and E. Rosas. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Licence, which permits unrestricted use and distribution provided the original author and source are credited.



1. Introduction

Generalized open sets play a very important role in General Topology and they are now the research topics of many topologists worldwide. Indeed a significant theme in General Topology and Real analysis concerns the various modified forms of continuity, separation axioms etc. Utilizing generalized open sets. Kasahara [1] defined the concept of an operation on topological spaces. Ogata and Maki [4] introduced and studied the notion of $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}$ which is the collection of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open sets in a topological space (X, τ) . Przemski in [5], analyze some forms of decomposition of continuous and α -continuous using $D(\alpha, s)$ -set, $D(\alpha, b)$ -set, $D(p, sp)$ -set, $D(p, b)$ -set and $D(b, sp)$ -set In this paper, we introduce some new types of sets via bioperation and obtain some theorems related with decomposition of continuity.

2. Preliminaries

The closure and the interior of a subset A of (X, τ) are denoted by \bar{A} and $\text{int}(A)$, respectively.

Definition 2.1. [1] Let (X, τ) be a topological space. An operation γ on the topology τ is function from τ on to power set $P(X)$ of X such that $V \subset V^\gamma$ for each $V \in \tau$, where V^γ denotes the value of τ at V . It is denoted by $\gamma : \tau \rightarrow P(X)$.

Definition 2.2. A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open set [4] if for each $x \in A$ there exists an open neighbourhood U of x such that $U^\gamma \cup U^{\gamma'} \subset A$. The complement of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open set is called $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -closed. $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}$ denotes set of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open sets in (X, τ) .

Definition 2.3. [4] For a subset A of (X, τ) , $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)$ denotes the intersection of all $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -closed sets containing A , that is, $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A) = \bigcap \{F : A \subset F, X \setminus F \in \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}\}$.

Definition 2.4. Let A be any subset of X . The $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)$ is defined as $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \text{ is a } \gamma \vee \gamma'\text{-open set and } U \subset A\}$.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and A be a subset of X and γ and γ' be operations on τ . Then A is said to be

1. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -open if $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)))$

2. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen if $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))$
3. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen [3] if $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))$
4. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semipreopen (or $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -sp-open) if $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)))$
5. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -b-open if $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)) \cup \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))$
6. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -regular open [2] if $A =_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} (\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))$.

$\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semipreinterior of A and denoted by $sp\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)$. The complement of a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semipreopen set is called a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semipreclosed set. It is clear that $sp\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)))$.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two topological spaces and let $\gamma, \gamma' : \tau \rightarrow \wp(X)$ be operations on τ . A mapping $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -continuous (resp. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -continuous, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -precontinuous, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semicontinuous, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiprecontinuous, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -b-continuous) if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V of Y containing $f(x)$ there exists a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open (resp. $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -open, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semipreopen, $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -b-open) set U containing x such that $f(U) \subset V$.

3. Some subsets in topological spaces

Definition 3.1. For a topological space (X, τ) with the operations γ, γ' , we define the following:

1. $D(\alpha, s) = \{A \subset X : A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))\}$.
2. $D(\alpha, sp) = \{A \subset X : A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)))\}$.
3. $D(\alpha, b) = \{A \subset X : A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))) = (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))) \cup (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)))\}$.
4. $D(p, ps) = \{A \subset X : A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)))\}$.
5. $D(p, b) = \{A \subset X : A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)) = (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))) \cup (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)))\}$.
6. $D(s, ps) = \{A \subset X : A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A)) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}-(A))\}$.

7. $D(s, b) = \{A \subset X : A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (\gamma \vee \gamma')(A) = (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (A))) \cup (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (A)))\}$.
8. $D(b, sp) = \{A \subset X : (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (A))) \cup (A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} - (A)))\}$.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$. We define the operations $\gamma, \gamma' : \tau \rightarrow \wp(X)$ as follows

$$A^\gamma = A^{\gamma'} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A = \{a\} \text{ or } \{c\}, \\ A \cup \{a, c\} & \text{if } A \neq \{a\} \text{ and } \{c\} \end{cases}$$

Observe that:

1. $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$
2. $D(\alpha, s) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$
3. $D(\alpha, sp) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$
4. $D(\alpha, b) = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{a, c\}\}$.
5. $D(p, ps) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$.
6. $D(p, b) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$.
7. $D(s, ps) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}\}$.
8. $D(s, b) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.
9. $D(b, sp) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.
10. The $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semi open set = $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$.
11. The $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semi preopen set = $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$.
12. The $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -b- open set = $\{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Example 3.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$. We define the operations $\gamma, \gamma' : \tau \rightarrow \wp(X)$ as follows

$$A^\gamma = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A = \{a\}, \\ A \cup \{a, c\} & \text{if } A \neq \{a\} \end{cases}$$

$$A^{\gamma'} = \begin{cases} \text{int}(cl(A)) & \text{if } A = \{a\}, \\ X & \text{if } A \neq \{a\} \end{cases}$$

Observe that:

1. $\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'} = \{\emptyset, X\}$.
2. $D(\alpha, s) = \wp(X)$
3. $D(\alpha, sp) = \{\emptyset, X\}$
4. $D(\alpha, b) = \wp(X)$.
5. $D(p, ps) = \wp(X)$.
6. $D(p, b) = \wp(X)$.
7. $D(s, ps) = \{\emptyset, X\}$.
8. $D(s, b) = \{\emptyset, X\}$.
9. $D(b, sp) = \{\emptyset, X\}$.
10. The $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semi open set = $\{\emptyset, X\}$.
11. The $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semi preopen set = $\wp(X)$.
12. The $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -b- open set = $\wp(X)$.

Theorem 3.4. *The following statements hold for a topological space (X, τ) with the operations γ and γ' :*

1. Every $D(\alpha, sp)$ -set is $D(p, sp)$ -set.
2. Every $D(\alpha, sp)$ -set is $D(s, sp)$ -set.
3. Every $D(p, sp)$ -set is $D(b, sp)$ -set.
4. Every $D(s, sp)$ -set is $D(b, sp)$ -set.

Proof. (1). Let A be a $D(\alpha, sp)$ -set. Then $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)))$. Now, $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) \subset A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \subset A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Thus it follows that A is a $D(p, sp)$ -set.

(2). Let A be a $D(\alpha, sp)$ -set. Then $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)))$. Now, $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) \subset A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \subset A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \subset A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Thus it follows that A is a $D(s, sp)$ -set.

(3). Let A be a $D(p, sp)$ -set. Then $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Since $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \subset$

$A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \cup A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) = A \cap [\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \cup \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))] \subset A \cap [\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \cup \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))] \subset A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. It follows that A is a $D(p, sp)$ -set.

(4) Analogous to (3). \square

Example 3.5. In Example 3.2, we can see that the converse of the Theorem 3.4, are not necessarily true.

Theorem 3.6. The following statements hold for a topological space (X, τ) with the operations γ and γ' :

1. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -open if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen and $D(\alpha, s)$ -set;
2. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -open if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(\alpha, sp)$ -set;
3. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open and $D(p, sp)$ -set;
4. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(p, sp)$ -set;
5. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(\alpha, p)$ -set;
6. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open and $D(s, b)$ -set;
7. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(s, sp)$ -set;
8. A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open if, and only if it is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(b, sp)$ -set.

Proof. (1). By Definition 3.1, it is obvious that every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -open set is $D(\alpha, s)$ -set. For the sufficiency of (1); Suppose that A is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen and $D(\alpha, s)$ -set. Then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$ and $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Since $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. It follows that $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))) = A$. Thus A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -open.

(2). Analogous to (1).

(3). Let A be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen set. Then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Hence $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))[\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))]$. Therefore A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open. On the other hand by Definition 3.1 it follows that every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen set is $D(p, b)$ -set. For the sufficiency of (3); Suppose that A is both $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open and $D(p, b)$ -set. Then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$ and $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) = A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \cap A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) = A \cap [\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))[\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))]]$. Since $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$, $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) = A$, showing that A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen.

(4). Let A be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen set. Then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Hence $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)))$. Therefore A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open. On the other hand by Definition 3.1 it follows that every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -preopen set is $D(p, sp)$ -set. Sufficiency of (4) is analogous to sufficiency of (3).

(5). Let A be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set. Then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Then we have $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)))$. Therefore A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open. On the other hand by Definition 3.1 it follows that every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(\alpha, p)$ -set. Sufficiency of (4) is analogous to sufficiency of (3).

(6) Analogous to (3).

(7). Let A be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set. Then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. Then we have $A \cap \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)))$. Therefore A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open. On the other hand by Definition 3.1 it follows that every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen set is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(s, sp)$ -set. Sufficiency of (7) is analogous to sufficiency of (1).

(8). Let A be a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open set then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)) \cup \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$ implies that $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$ or $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$. If $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A))$ then $A \subset \tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(\tau_{\gamma \vee \gamma'}(A)))$, showing that A is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open. On the other hand by Definition 3.1 it follows that every $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open set is $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(b, sp)$ -set. Sufficiency of (8) is analogous to sufficiency of (1). \square

Remark 3.7. In a topological space (X, τ) , the following hold:

1. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semi open set and $D(\alpha, s)$ -sets are independent.
2. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(\alpha, sp)$ -sets are independent.
3. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open and $D(p, b)$ -sets are independent.
4. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(p, sp)$ -sets are independent.
5. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - sp -open and $D(\alpha, p)$ -sets are independent.
6. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - b -open and $D(s, b)$ -sets are independent.

7. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -*sp*-open and $D(s, sp)$ -sets are independent.
8. The notions of $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -*sp*-open and $D(b, sp)$ -sets are independent.

Example 3.8. In Examples 3.2, and 3.3, we can obtain all needed information related with Remark 3.7.

4. Some decomposition theorems

Definition 4.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two topological spaces and let $\gamma, \gamma' : \tau \rightarrow \wp(X)$ be operations on τ and $\beta, \beta' : \sigma \rightarrow \wp(X)$ be operations on σ . A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be:

1. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -precontinuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $\beta \vee \beta'$ -open set V of Y , there exist a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -open set U of X such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
2. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -*b*-continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $\beta \vee \beta'$ -open set V of Y , there exist a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -*b*-open set U of X such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
3. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - α -continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $\beta \vee \beta'$ -open set V of Y , there exist a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ - α -open set U of X such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
4. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -semicontinuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $\beta \vee \beta'$ -open set V of Y , there exist a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -semiopen U set of X such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
5. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -*sp*-continuous if for each $x \in X$ and each $\beta \vee \beta'$ -open set V of Y , there exist a $\gamma \vee \gamma'$ -*sp*-open set U of X such that $f(U) \subseteq V$.
6. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, s)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(\alpha, s)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.
7. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, p)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(\alpha, p)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.
8. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, sp)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(\alpha, sp)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.
9. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(p, b)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(p, b)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.
10. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(p, sp)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(p, sp)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.

11. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(s, b)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(s, b)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.
12. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(s, sp)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(s, sp)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.
13. $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(b, sp)$ -continuous if $f^{-1}(V) \in \gamma \vee \gamma'$ - $D(b, sp)$ for each $V \in \sigma_{\beta \vee \beta'}$.

Remark 4.2. It is easy to see, from Theorem 3.6, we can obtain many relations between the different forms of continuity described in Definition 4.1, for example:

1. Every $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, sp)$ -continuous is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(p, sp)$ -continuous.
2. Every $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, sp)$ -continuous is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(s, sp)$ -continuous.
3. Every $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(p, ps)$ -continuous is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(p, sp)$ -continuous.
4. Every $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(s, sp)$ -continuous is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(b, sp)$ -continuous.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.6, we have the following theorems.

Theorem 4.3. For a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - α -continuous,
2. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -semicontinuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, s)$ -continuous,
3. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - b -continuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, sp)$ -continuous.

Theorem 4.4. For a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -precontinuous,
2. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - b -continuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(p, b)$ -continuous,

3. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - b -continuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(p, sp)$ -continuous.

Theorem 4.5. For a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -semicontinuous,
2. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - b -continuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(s, b)$ -continuous,
3. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - sp -continuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(\alpha, p)$ -continuous,
4. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - sp -continuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(s, sp)$ -continuous.

Theorem 4.6. For a function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following statements are equivalent:

1. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ -semicontinuous,
2. f is $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - b -continuous and $(\gamma \vee \gamma', \beta \vee \beta')$ - $D(b, sp)$ -continuous.

References

- [1] S. Kasahara, "Operation-compact spaces", *Mathematics japonica*, vol. 24, pp. 97-105, 1979.
- [2] R. Nirmala and N. Rajesh, "Bioperation-regular open sets", *Aryabhata journal mathematics informatics*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 53-62, 2016.
- [3] R. Nirmala and N. Rajesh, "Generalization of semiopen sets via bioperation" (under preparation).
- [4] H. Ogata and H. Maki, "Bioperation on topological spaces", *Mathematics japonica*, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 981-985, 1993.
- [5] M. Perzemshi, "A decomposition of continuity and β -continuity", *Acta mathematica hungarica*, vol. 61, no. 1/2, pp. 93-98, 1993, doi: 10.1007/BF01872101